This can be expressed in terms of $\theta$ using Eq. (A1).

$$e = 1/2 \rho (1 - \rho/r)^{-1} \theta^2.$$  \hfill (A3)

In the small angle limit, $e \approx \Delta \approx \Delta \cos \theta$, so from Eq. (44), the torque is

$$\tau = -mg[d + \rho (1 - \rho/r)^{-1}] \theta.$$  \hfill (A4)

Thus the angular frequency of a physical pendulum that rotates on a pin of radius $\rho$ passing through a hole of radius $r$ (Fig. 13) is given by Eqs. (6) and (7) of Sec. II A. In the case of the rigid rod, this yields a small correction. However, for a ring rotating on a pin, it is a major effect.

The moment of inertia of a ring with inner radius $r$ and outer radius $r'$, about an axis perpendicular to the ring at a distance $r$ from the center, is

$$I/m = 1/2(r^2 + r'^2) + r^2$$

so its period of oscillation on a pin of radius $\rho$ is

$$T = 2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(r^2 + r'^2) + r^2}} \sqrt{\frac{g}{\rho + \rho/1(1 - \rho/r)}}.$$  \hfill (A5)

This reduces to the textbook formula $2\pi \sqrt{2r/g}$ for an infinitely thin ring on an infinitely thin pin (knife edge) and agrees with the period given by the exact expression for the acceleration of a ring on a pin.\textsuperscript{14,15}

Table IV gives comparisons of theory and experiments for an aluminum ring of inner radius $r = 7.78$ cm oscillating on pins of various diameters.

\begin{itemize}
  \item[K. Symon, Mechanics, 2nd ed. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1960), pp. 208–212.
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  \item[H. Goldstein, Classical Mechanics, 2nd ed. (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1980).
  \item[A. Cromer, Experiments in Introductory Physics (RonJon, Denton, TX, 1994).
  \item[E. R. Dietz and P. W. Gash, “How sharp does a ‘knife-edge’ have to be?” Phys. Teach. 32, 46 (1994).
  \item[P. Hinrichsen, “The knife edge revisited,” Phys. Teach. 32, 198 (1994).
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We identify all the periodic orbits of the integrable swinging Atwood’s machine by calculating the rotation number of each orbit on its invariant tori in phase space, and also providing explicit formulas for the initial conditions needed to generate each orbit. © 1995 American Association of Physics Teachers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Integrable Hamiltonian systems typically display an infinity of distinct periodic and quasiperiodic orbits. The bounded Kepler problem often studied in classical mechanics is not typical in this respect since it only exhibits periodic orbits of a simple type. This is because the Kepler problem has a third invariant, the Runge–Lenz vector,\textsuperscript{1} in addition to the energy and angular momentum. This third invariant forces all the bounded motions to be periodic. A somewhat more typical example of an integrable two-degree of freedom Hamiltonian system is the swinging Atwood’s machine when the mass ratio ($\mu = M/m$) of the nonswinging to swinging mass is equal to three.\textsuperscript{3}

Numerical studies of the integrable swinging Atwood’s machine exhibit a plethora of distinct periodic and quasiperiodic orbits, and when viewed in configuration space it appears to be a difficult task to organize and classify all these different types of orbits.

© 1995 American Association of Physics Teachers

Am. J. Phys. 63 (2), February 1995
The modern view of classical mechanics emphasizes the "geometry of phase space," and with this geometric perspective the problem of the classification of orbits in integrable Hamiltonian systems becomes elementary. A basic result of the geometric approach to classical mechanics states that the topology of the invariant manifold $M$ of integrable Hamiltonian systems must be an $n$-dimensional torus. In particular, for a two-degree of freedom Hamiltonian system with just two invariants, each orbit in the four-dimensional phase space must be confined to a two-dimensional torus, a hollow donut. Further, all orbits which arise from flows on a two-dimensional torus must be either a periodic or quasiperiodic winding of the torus. In the latter case the quasiperiodic orbit will densely cover the whole torus.

The "natural" way then to classify the orbits is to calculate the rotation number about a torus: the ratio of winding about each generator of the torus. For quasiperiodic orbits this rotation number is irrational, and it is a single number that uniquely identifies the orbit. In the case of a periodic orbit, this number is rational and it uniquely identifies a family of periodic orbits all of the same type or shape when viewed in configuration space. Thus the rotation number, which uniquely indexes each torus (and hence each type of orbit) in the phase space of an integrable Hamiltonian system, provides the most natural solution to the problem of identifying the orbits of a two-degree of freedom integrable Hamiltonian system.

In this paper we use some classical results of classical mechanics (Hamilton–Jacobi theory) along with the modern perspective of classical mechanics (the geometry of phase space) in order to identify and classify all the periodic orbits of the integrable swinging Atwood's machine. It is hoped that this elementary but physically realistic example might find use in an advanced classical mechanics course which attempts to introduce the flavor of a modern approach to classical mechanics.

II. THE ORBIT EQUATION

Taking polar coordinates in the vertical plane where the weight of swinging mass $m$ moves, the total energy of the system is

$$E = T + V = \frac{M + m}{2} \dot{r}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + g r (M - m \cos \theta).$$

(1)

The conjugate momenta $p_r$ and $p_\theta$ are

$$p_r = (M + m) \dot{r},$$

(2a)

$$p_\theta = m r^2 \dot{\theta},$$

(2b)

So the Hamiltonian of the problem is given by

$$H(r, \theta, p_r, p_\theta) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{p_r^2}{M + m} + \frac{p_\theta^2}{m r^2} \right) + g r (M - m \cos \theta).$$

(3)

As shown in Ref. 3, it is convenient to change to another set of canonical coordinates where the equations of motion become simpler, especially if we want to do Hamilton–Jacobi theory. Let the coordinate transformation to $(\xi, \eta)$ be defined by

$$\xi = \sqrt{[1 + \sin(\theta/2)]},$$

(4a)

$$\eta = \pm \sqrt{[1 - \sin(\theta/2)]}.$$  

(4b)

The inverse change of coordinates is

$$r = \left( \xi^2 + \eta^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

(5a)

$$\theta = 2 \arctan \left[ \frac{\xi^2 - \eta^2}{2 \xi \eta} \right].$$

(5b)

and the generating function $F_2(r, \theta, p_\xi, p_\eta)$ which determines the extension of this change of position coordinates to a canonical transformation in phase space will be

$$F_2(r, \theta, p_\xi, p_\eta) = \sqrt{[1 + \sin(\theta/2)]} p_\xi + \sqrt{[1 - \sin(\theta/2)]} p_\eta.$$  

(6)

Hence,

$$p_r = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial r} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \sin(\theta/2)}}{2 \sqrt{r}} p_\xi + \frac{\sqrt{1 - \sin(\theta/2)}}{2 \sqrt{r}} p_\eta = \frac{\xi p_\xi + \eta p_\eta}{\xi^2 + \eta^2},$$

(7a)

$$p_\theta = \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\sqrt{\cos(\theta/2)}}{4 \sqrt{1 + \sin(\theta/2)}} p_\xi - \frac{\sqrt{\cos(\theta/2)}}{4 \sqrt{1 - \sin(\theta/2)}} p_\eta = \frac{\eta p_\xi - \xi p_\eta}{4}.$$  

(7b)

Since we know (see Ref. 5) that the system is integrable only when $M/m = 3$, we set $M = 3$ and $m = 1$ throughout this paper. For these values of the parameters, Eq. (3) becomes, after introducing Eqs. (5) and (7),

$$H(\xi, \eta, p_\xi, p_\eta) = \frac{1}{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \left( \frac{1}{8} (p_\xi^2 + p_\eta^2) + 2 g (\xi^4 + \eta^4) \right),$$

(8)

the expression of the Hamiltonian of the system in the new set of coordinates.

We recall that the idea behind Hamilton–Jacobi theory is to find a generating function $S(\xi, \eta, \beta_1, \beta_2, t)$ for a canonical change from $(\xi, \eta, p_\xi, p_\eta)$ to a new set of variables $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ which are constant along the motion, i.e., such that the Hamiltonian expressed in terms of the new variables is identically zero. The function $S$ with this property satisfies the first-order partial differential equation

$$H \left[ \xi, \eta, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}, \frac{\partial S}{\partial \eta} \right] \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0,$$

(9)

and the constants $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$ will be the two independent constants which will come out of the integration of Eq. (9). Therefore, by construction, solving Eq. (9) is equivalent to solving the equations of motion.

As shown in Ref. 6, Eq. (9) for Hamiltonian Eq. (8) separates and yields a solution in terms of quadratures, i.e., the problem reduces to that of computing certain integrals. More precisely, let us consider Eq. (9), where $H$ is the Hamiltonian given by Eq. (8), and try a solution of the form

$$S(\xi, \eta, t) = S_1(\xi) + S_2(\eta) + S_3(t).$$

(10)

Then, Eq. (9) becomes

$$\frac{1}{\xi^2 + \eta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{dS_1}{d\xi} + \frac{dS_2}{d\eta} \right)^2 + 2 g (\xi^4 + \eta^4) \right] = -\frac{dS_3}{dt}.$$  

(11)

Clearly, each member must be equal to a constant, $\beta_1$, and moreover, since by definition
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\[
\frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi}, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \eta}, \quad (12a)
\]
\[
\beta_2 = E, \quad (13)
\]
and so
\[
S_2(t) = -Et. \quad (14)
\]
Equation (9) then reduces to another separable equation in \(\xi\) and \(\eta\),
\[
\frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{dS_1}{d\xi} \right)^2 + 2g\xi^4 - E\xi^2 = -\frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{dS_2}{d\eta} \right)^2 - 2g\eta^4 + E\eta^2. \quad (15)
\]
Again, both members must be equal to the second integration constant \(\beta_2\), which we shall name \(I/8\). Therefore, denoting by \(s(x,E,I)\),
\[
s(x,E,I) = \int_k^x \sqrt{1-16g(y^{4}+8E)y^2} dy, \quad (16)
\]
where \(k\) is some constant to be fixed later, the solution \(S(\xi,\eta,E,I,t)\) is, up to two independent additive constants,
\[
S(\xi,\eta,E,I,t) = s(\xi,E,I) + s(\eta,E,-I) - Et. \quad (17)
\]
The equations of the canonical transformation whose generating function is \(S\) which will give the complete solution of the problem are
\[
\alpha_1 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial E} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial E}(\xi,E,I) + \frac{\partial s}{\partial E}(\eta,E,-I) - t, \quad (18a)
\]
\[
\alpha_2 = \frac{\partial s}{\partial I}(\xi,E,I) + \frac{\partial s}{\partial I}(\eta,E,-I), \quad (18b)
\]
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \xi}(\xi,E,I), \quad (18c)
\]
\[
\beta_2 = \frac{\partial S}{\partial \eta} = \frac{\partial s}{\partial \eta}(\eta,E,-I). \quad (18d)
\]
Note that the two independent additive constants are now included in Eqs. (18a) and (18b). Equation (18b) is the orbit equation, which gives a relation between the position coordinates \(\xi\) and \(\eta\) in terms of the constants \(E\), \(I\), and \(\alpha_2\). Adding the information contained in Eq. (18a), that contains time explicitly, we shall obtain the trajectories equations. It is therefore clear that the other constant \(\alpha_1\) plays the role of the initial time. The last two equations of Eqs. (18) complete the description of the motion in phase space.

Let us now focus our attention on the orbit equation, to obtain an explicit expression in terms of known functions. First, we note that, as pointed out in Ref. 7, we may always choose appropriate time and length units to set \(E = g = 1\). From now on, we shall assume that we are working in these units. In particular, the parameter \(I\) will always take values in the interval \([-1,1]\). Then, the orbit equation becomes
\[
\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_1}^\xi \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-16y^4+8y^2}} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{k_2}^{\eta} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{1-16y^4+8y^2}} = \frac{1}{2} \Xi(\xi,E) + \frac{1}{2} \Theta(\eta,E). \quad (19)
\]
Fixing the constants \(k_1\) and \(k_2\) at appropriate values and assuming for now that \(I \geq 0\), we obtain
\[
\Xi(\xi,E) = Y_1(\xi,E), \quad (20a)\]
\[
\Theta(\eta,E) = Y_2(\eta,E), \quad (20b)\]
where
\[
Y_1(x,I) = \int_0^x \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(a^2 - y^4)(b^2 + y^2)}}, \quad (21a)\]
\[
Y_2(x,I) = \int_x^c \frac{dy}{\sqrt{(c^2 - y^2)(y^2 - d^2)}}, \quad (21b)\]
and
\[
a^2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + I}}{4}, \quad b^2 = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{1 + I}}{4}, \quad (22)\]
\[
c^2 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - I}}{4}, \quad d^2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - I}}{4}.\]

On the other hand, we also have, for \(I < 0\),
\[
\Xi(\xi,E) = -Y_2(\xi,E), \quad (23a)\]
\[
\Theta(\eta,E) = -Y_1(\eta,E). \quad (23b)\]

Therefore, the orbit equation may always be expressed in terms of the functions \(Y_1(x,I)\) and \(Y_2(x,I), I \geq 0\). Now, both \(Y_1\) and \(Y_2\) are elliptic integrals of the first kind (see, for instance, Ref. 8). More precisely, we have, denoting as usual by \(F(\varphi|m)\) the elliptic integral
\[
F(\varphi|m) = \int_0^\varphi \frac{(1 - m \sin^2 \phi)^{-1/2} d\phi}{(1 - y^2)(1 - m y^2)^{-1/2}}, \quad (24)\]
\[
Y_1(x,I) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{1 + I}} F(\varphi_1|m_1), \quad (25a)\]
\[
Y_2(x,I) = \sqrt{\frac{4}{1 - I}} F(\varphi_2|m_2) \quad (25b)\]

with
\[
m_1(I) = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + I}}{2\sqrt{1 + I}}, \quad (26a)\]
\[
m_2(I) = \frac{2\sqrt{1 - I}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - I}}, \quad (26b)\]

and
\[
\sin \varphi_1(x,I) = \frac{\sqrt{8x^2\sqrt{1 + I}}}{4x^2(1 + \sqrt{1 + I}) + I}, \quad (27a)\]
\[
\sin \varphi_2(x,I) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4x^2\sqrt{1 - I}}}{2\sqrt{1 - I}}. \quad (27b)\]

Hence, for \(I \geq 0\) the orbit equation may be written
\[ \alpha_2 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} + 2I}} F[\varphi_1(\xi, I)|m_1(I)] + \sqrt{\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1 + \sqrt{1 - I}}} F[\varphi_2(\eta, I)|m_2(I)], \]  

(28)

where \( \varphi_1(\xi, I), m_1(I), \varphi_2(\eta, I), \text{and } m_2(I) \) are given by Eqs. (26) and (27). For \( I < 0 \), by Eq. (23), the orbit equation is obtained from Eq. (28) by interchanging \( \xi \) and \( \eta \).

Using Eq. (28) and a symbolic math program, and going back to the original coordinates \( r, \theta \), it is easy to obtain plots of the orbits of the system in the plane.

### III. LOW-ORDER PERIODIC ORBITS (TORUS KNOTS)

The system we are dealing with is nondegenerate and so we expect to find periodic and quasiperiodic orbits, according to the value of \( I \) that we pick in a certain energy level. Since we are studying an integrable Hamiltonian system, all these orbits, both the periodic and the quasiperiodic will lie on tori in the phase space, which form the closure of the quasiperiodic orbits in one case, and which will be foliated by the periodic orbits in the other case. This determines the knot type of the periodic orbits: all of them must be torus knots. A torus knot is characterized by two integers, \( n, m \), that measure the number of times the curve winds around each generator of the torus. The rational rotation number \( \rho \) associated to each of the tori foliated by periodic orbits will measure the ratio \( n/m \). Therefore, if we want to know which torus knots are realized as orbits of our system, or if we want to know how to pick the "simplest" torus knots, i.e., those for which both \( n \) and \( m \) are small integers, we need information on the dependence of the rotation number on the parameter \( I \). The range of the function \( \rho(I) \) will tell us which are the low-order resonances and hence which are the low-order torus knots, and the form of the function itself will tell us where to look for these knots, i.e., which approximate value for \( I \) we should take. The appropriate variables to study this question are action-angle variables.

Recall that, in general, the action variable \( J_i \) is given by \( \oint p_i dq_i \), where the integral is taken along a period of the projection of the orbit on the \( q_i, p_i \) plane. The angles are the canonical conjugate of the actions, and the Hamiltonian in this set of variables depends only on the \( J_i \). The angles change with time with constant frequencies, given by the partial derivatives of \( H \) with respect to the \( J_i \). Hence, in our case, the rotation number associated to the motion on the two-dimensional tori that foliate the energy level \( E = 1 \) will be given by

\[ \rho(I) = \frac{\partial H/\partial J_1}{\partial H/\partial J_2} \]  

(29)

where the derivatives must be evaluated along \( H(J_1, J_2) = 1 \).

Now, from Eqs. (6.3) and (6.4) we have

\[ J_1(E, I) = \oint p_\xi d\xi = \oint \frac{\partial S}{\partial \xi} d\xi = \oint \sqrt{I + 8E\xi^2 - 16\xi^4} d\xi = j(E, I), \]

(30a)

\[ J_2(E, I) = \oint p_\eta d\eta = \oint \frac{\partial S}{\partial \eta} d\eta = \oint \sqrt{-I + 8E\eta^2 - 16\eta^4} d\eta \]
in Eq. (33) are the corresponding complete elliptic integrals of the first kind \( K(m) \) (see, for instance, Ref. 8). In terms of these functions, Eq. (33) becomes

\[
\rho(I) = \sqrt{\frac{2\sqrt{1+I} K[m_2(I)]}{1+\sqrt{1-I} K[m_1(I)]}},
\]

(35)

where \( m_1(I) \) and \( m_2(I) \) are given by Eq. (26). This expression and the range \( \rho([0,1]) \) can be easily computed using for \( K(m) \) the polynomial approximation

\[
K(1-m) = (a_0 + a_1 m + a_2 m^2) + (b_0 + b_1 m + b_2 m^2) 
\times \log(1/m) + \epsilon(m),
\]

(36)

where \( |\epsilon(m)| \approx 3.10^{-5} \) and \( a_0 = 1.38629, \quad a_1 = 0.11197, \quad a_2 = 0.07252, \quad b_0 = 0.5, \quad b_1 = 0.12134, \quad b_2 = 0.02887 \).

Figure 1 is a plot of \( \rho(I) \) vs \( I \). The graph shows a monotonically increasing function with \( \rho(0)=1 \) and \( \rho(1)=\pi/(2^{1/4}K [(1+\sqrt{2})/2^{3/2}]) \approx 1.10069 \). Thus, no periodic orbit can exist in the integrable swinging Atwood’s machine with rotation number greater than about 1.10069. The simplest periodic orbits will therefore be of fairly high period and will have rotation numbers like 11/10, 12/11, 13/12, and so on.

In order to plot some of these periodic orbits it is useful to express the initial conditions as a function of \( I \). Then to locate a periodic orbit with a given rational rotation number, all we need to do is calculate the inverse function \( \rho^{-1}(n/m) = I \) and then use this value of \( I \) to find the initial conditions needed to generate an orbit with rotation number \( \rho = n/m \).

To find a formula for the initial conditions we note that at the surface of section \( \theta=0 \), the energy \( E(r, \theta, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta}) \) and first integral \( I(r, \theta, \dot{r}, \dot{\theta}) \) are with \( E = g = 1 \) (Ref. 9):

\[
2r^2 + \frac{1}{2}r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + 2r = 1,
\]

(37)

and

\[
I = 16r^2\dot{\theta}.
\]

(38)

Eliminating \( \dot{\theta} \) between these two invariants we find

\[
\dot{r}(r, I) = \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - r} \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} - r}^2 - \frac{I^2}{16r^2}.
\]

(39)

All real solutions of Eq. (39) with \( I \in [0,1] \) represent cross sections of the invariant tori at \( \theta=0 \). A few of these cross sections are shown in Fig. 2. The “first” torus occurs at \( I=0 \) and corresponds to the family of “teardrop-heart” ejection–collision orbits previously studied. The “last” torus occurs at \( I=1 \) and corresponds with the “loop” periodic orbit shown in Fig. 3(a). This last torus is degenerate, and it is the only periodic orbit in the integrable swinging Atwood’s machine which may have a nonrational rotation number. All other orbits on the tori between \( 0 < I < 1 \) are either a family of periodic orbits if \( \rho(I) \) is rational, or a single quasiperiodic orbit otherwise. Equations (39) and (38) with \( r=0.25 \) and \( \theta=0 \) provide explicit initial conditions for an orbit with any value of \( I \). The “last torus” (loop orbit) has the initial conditions \( (r_0, \theta_0, \dot{r}_0, \dot{\theta}_0) \approx (0.25, 0, 0.353 553, 2.82843) \).

Figure 3 illustrates a few of the simpler periodic orbits that occur in the integrable swinging Atwood’s machine.
IV. LOOP ORBIT

We conclude by showing that it is possible to write down an explicit exact solution for the loop orbit ($E = g = 1$). In the ($\xi, \eta$) coordinates, initial conditions for the loop orbit are $\xi = \eta = 1/2$ with $\dot{\xi} = \sqrt{2}/2$ and $\dot{\eta} = 0$. And it is easy to check that $\ddot{\eta} = 0$. So, the solution of the loop orbit in the ($\xi, \eta$) coordinates is particularly simple, namely: $\dot{\xi}(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ for all $t$. Now, using Eq. (5), we find that on transforming back to the original ($r, \theta$) coordinates this orbit gets mapped to:

$$r_-(\theta) = \frac{1}{4} \sec \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \left[ \sec \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) - \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right] \text{ for } 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi,$$

for $-\theta_0 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0$,

$$r_+(\theta) = \frac{1}{4} \sec \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \left[ \sec \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) + \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right]$$

which is equal to one for the loop orbit. Solving for $\theta$ we find

$$\theta_0 = \arccos \left( \frac{-5 + 4 \sqrt{2}}{2} \right) \approx 0.854 \text{ rad.}$$

References:
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The reasons for identifying the contributions of women astronomers in introductory textbooks are discussed. The rates of inclusion of ten women astronomers are studied and analyzed. The evolution of inclusion over the past four decades is explored. © 1995 American Association of Physics Teachers.

I. INTRODUCTION

Vera Rubin has stated that “all of us, men and women alike, need permission to enter and continue in the world of science.”1 This “permission” can take the form of society’s acceptance of men and women as scientists. The question becomes whether men and women are equally accepted in these roles. Given the androcentric nature of our culture in general and the scientific community in particular, the answer must be a reluctant “no.” One obvious way in which society sends this message to young women is in its selection of scientific “heroes” or role models. For example, the Smithsonian Air and Space Museum’s first planetarium show in 1976 highlighted 200 years of American astronomy and included only male astronomers.1 Are we to assume that women have made no significant contributions to astronomy in that time?

Female students have been caught in a vicious cycle of lack of role models, lack of self-confidence, and a societal belief that women are less good at science.2 It is time to break the cycle. The problems facing women in science are becoming highly publicized, especially given the fact that by the year 2000, those joining the work force will be comprised of 85% women and minorities.3 Today women represent 11% of all scientists and engineers, although they comprise 45% of the work force.4 In this work, the role of textbooks in “warming the chilly climate” for women in astronomy will be studied. Astronomy textbooks are especially important because it is estimated that one of eight young adults in the U.S. has had an astronomy course5 and more students have studied astronomy in the last five decades than in all previous centuries combined.5

16r^2 \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) = 1,$$